IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 653 OF 2001

BETWEEN

Subrato Roy, S/o Shri S.K.Roy, aged about 42 years, Working as Executive Engineer, posted at Bastar Division in the Chhattisgarh State Marketing Board . Earlier known as Madhya Pradesh State Agricultural Marketing Board, Jagdalpur, District Bastar, Chhattisgarh.

PETITIONER

A N D

1. The Madhya Pradesh State Agricultural Marketing Board, Through its Chairman, 26 Arera Hills, Kishan Bhawan, BHOPAL. (Madhya Pradesh).

2. Managing Director, M.P.State Agricultural Marketing Board, Bhopal, having its Office at 26 Arera Hills, Kishan Bhawan, BHOPAL (MP).

3. The Chhattisgarh State Agricultural Marketing Board, Purana Ganj,Mandi Parishar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

RESPONDENTS

WRITT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(428)

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P. No. 653..... **सन् 200**/

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

20/09/2005

Mr. Manindra Shrivastava, learned Sr. Advocate with Mrs. Smita Ghai, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S.Tripathi, learned counsel for respondents No. 1 & 2.

Mr. Ranbeer Singh Marhas, learned counsel for respondent No.3.

Heard.

This petition filed under Section 226/227 of the Constitution of India impugns the charge sheets vide Annexures P/1 to P/13 issued against the petitioner.

Mr. Manindra Shrivastava, learned Sr. Advocate with Mrs. Smita Ghai, Advocate, appearing for the petitioner submits that the charge sheets issued long back from 15.04.1999 have been impugned in this petition. No enquiry has been completed by Chhattisgarh State Agriculture Marketing Board pursuant to the order dated 26.04.2001 passed by this Court staying the enquiry proceedings initiated pursuant to the charge sheets of Annexures P/1 to P/13. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the enquiry officer be directed to decide for holding an enquiry after considering the replies and representations, if any filed hereinafter, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of this order.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection.

The respondent No. 3 is accordingly directed to examine the replies filed by the petitioner earlier and decide the representation within a period

428

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 653 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	of three weeks and if the authorities find it necessary to hold an enquiry, that may be completed within a period of four months after taking decision on the replies filed by the petitioner. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of. Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge	

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000

1077 21-9-05